U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

oxtimes QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

OF	₹
☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OF	R 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.
For the transition period from	to
Commission file no	umber 001-31972
TELKONI (Exact name of Issuer as	
<u>Utah</u> (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	87-0627421 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
10200 Innovation Drive, Suite 300, Milwaukee, WI (Address of Principal Executive Offices)	<u>53226</u> (Zip Code)
(Registrant's Telephone Num	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all requiring the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the regular such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \square No \boxtimes	ports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act gistrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large acceler reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "at the Exchange Act. (Check one):	erated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of
Large accelerated filer □	Accelerated filer □
Non-accelerated filer □ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company ⊠
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company	as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes □ No ☒
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issu	uer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date:

104,515,075 shares of Common Stock (\$.001 par value) as of May 7, 2012.

TELKONET, INC. FORM 10-Q for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2012

Index

_	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets: March 31, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited): Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	4
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited): January 1, 2012 through March 31, 2012	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited): Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	8
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	29
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	30
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	30
Item 1A. Risk Factors	31
Item 6. Exhibits	31
2	

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

TELKONET, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	(Unaudited) March 31, 2012		De	ecember 31, 2011
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	537,738	\$	961,091
Restricted cash on deposit		91,000		91,000
Accounts receivable, net		842,814		1,306,011
Inventories		876,011		322,210
Prepaid expenses		45,364		157,665
Total current assets	_	2,392,927		2,837,977
Property and equipment, net	_	3,770		11,953
Other assets:				
Goodwill		8,570,446		8,570,446
Intangible assets, net		1,681,557		1,741,977
Deposits		34,238		34,238
Total other assets		10,286,241		10,346,661
Total Assets	\$	12,682,938	\$	13,196,591
	===			
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current liabilities:		4.44.000		1.010.006
Accounts payable	\$	1,343,808	\$	1,248,386
Accrued liabilities and expenses		2,270,080		2,176,208
Notes payable – current		64,156		111,405
Deferred revenues Customer deposits		38,023		55,529
Total current liabilities		47,141		21,364
Total current habilities		3,763,208		3,612,892
Long-term liabilities:				
Deferred lease liability		124,860		118,636
Notes payable – long term		876,261		853,795
Total long-term liabilities		1,001,121		972,431
Redeemable preferred stock:				
15,000,000 shares authorized, par value \$.001 per share				
Series A; 215 shares issued, 185 shares outstanding at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011,				
preference in liquidation of \$1,120,302 as of March 31, 2012		929,350		892,995
Series B; 538 shares issued, 493 shares outstanding at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011,				
preference in liquidation of \$2,736,185 as of March 31, 2012		1,629,354		1,474,956
Total redeemable preferred stock		2,558,704		2,367,951
Commitments and contingencies		_		_
Stockholders' Equity				
Common stock, par value \$.001 per share; 190,000,000 shares authorized;104,515,075 and 104,349,507 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011,				
respectively		104,517		104,352
Additional paid-in-capital		124,328,408		124,483,161
Accumulated deficit		(119,073,020)	((118,344,196)
Total stockholders' equity	_	5,359,905		6,243,317
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$	12,682,938	\$	13,196,591

TELKONET, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

For The Three Months Ended March 31,

	IVIAI	ch 31,
	2012	2011
Revenues, net:		
Product	\$ 917,929	\$ 1,351,072
Recurring	1,010,672	1,131,627
Total Net Revenues	1,928,601	2,482,699
Cost of Sales:		
Product	600,809	708,270
Recurring	289,909	263,869
Total Cost of Sales	890,718	972,139
Gross Profit	1,037,883	1,510,560
Operating Expenses:		
Research and development	230,564	208,609
Selling, general and administrative	1,431,781	1,127,834
Depreciation and amortization	72,598	61,528
Total Operating Expense	1,734,943	1,397,971
Income (Loss) from Operations	(697,060)	112,589
Other Income (Expenses):		
Interest expense, net	(31,764)	(190,234)
Gain on derivative liability		172,476
Gain on disposal of property and equipment	_	2,165
Gain on sale of product line	_	829,296
Total Other Income (Expense)	(31,764)	813,703
Income (Loss) Before Provision for Income Taxes	(728,824)	926,292
Provision for Income Taxes		
Net Income (Loss)	(728,824)	926,292
Accretion of preferred dividends and discount	(190,753)	(104,899)
Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ (919,577)	\$ 821,393
Net income (loss) per common share:		
Income (loss) per common share – basic	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.01
· · · · · ·		
Income (loss) per common share – diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.01
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding – basic	104,351,326	101,363,617
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding – diluted	106,457,737	101,868,176

TELKONET, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED) FOR THE THREE MONTHS FROM JANUARY 1, 2012 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2012

_	Common Shares	 Common Stock Amount	_	Additional Paid in Capital	 Accumulated Deficit	S	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance at January 1, 2012	104,349,507	\$ 104,352	\$	124,483,161	\$ (118,344,196)	\$	6,243,317
Shares issued to directors and management at approximately \$0.18 per share	165,568	165		29,835	-		30,000
Stock-based compensation expense related to employee stock options	_	_		6,165	-		6,165
Accretion of redeemable preferred stock discount	-	-		(123,111)	-		(123,111)
Accretion of redeemable preferred stock dividend	-	-		(67,642)	-		(67,642)
Net loss					(728,824)		(728,824)
Balance at March 31, 2012	104,515,075	\$ 104,517	\$	124,328,408	\$ (119,073,020)	\$	5,359,905

TELKONET, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended March 31.

	 Ended N	1arch 3	1,
	 2012		2011
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	 		
Net income (loss)	\$ (728,824)	\$	926,292
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) from operations to cash (used in) provided by			
operating activities:			
Amortization of debt discounts and financing costs	_		191,357
Gain on sale of product line	_		(829,296)
Gain on derivative liability	_		(172,476)
Gain on disposal of property and equipment	_		(2,165)
Stock based compensation expense	36,165		32,494
Depreciation	12,178		1,108
Amortization	60,420		60,420
Provision for doubtful accounts	796		29,808
Increase / decrease in:			
Accounts receivable, trade and other	462,401		86,495
Inventories	(553,801)		122,470
Prepaid expenses	112,301		(21,816)
Deferred revenue	(17,506)		(24,218)
Accounts payable	95,422		(387,701)
Accrued liabilities & expenses	93,872		24,260
Customer deposits	25,777		(40,230)
Deferred lease liability	6,224		6,510
Net Cash (Used In) Provided by Operating Activities	(394,575)		3,312
Net Cash (Csea In) 110 videa by Operating Neuvilles	 (374,373)		5,512
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(3,995)		_
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment			6,645
Proceeds from sale of product line	_		1,000,000
Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities	 (3,995)		1,006,645
•			
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of note payable	_		700,000
Payments on note payable	(24,783)		(11,798)
Payments on note payable – related party			(25,114)
Repayment of convertible debentures	_		(1,606,023)
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	(24,783)		(942,935)
	<u>-</u>		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(423,353)		67,022
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	961,091		136,030
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period	\$ 537,738	\$	203,052

TELKONET, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued) (UNAUDITED)

For the Three Months Ended

	 March 31,			
	 2012		2011	
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:				
C. I. toward's and				
Cash transactions:				
Cash paid during the period for interest expense	\$ 1,745	\$	174,667	
Non-cash transactions:				
Accretion of discount on redeemable preferred stock	\$ 123,111	\$	57,339	
Accretion of dividends on redeemable preferred stock	67,642		47,560	
Issuance of note payable in conjunction with warrant cancellation	_		50,000	

NOTE A – SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A summary of the significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements follows.

General

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Telkonet, Inc. (the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with Rule S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. However, the results from operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated December 31, 2011 financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K filed with the SEC.

Business and Basis of Presentation

Telkonet, Inc., formed in 1999 and incorporated under the laws of the state of Utah, has evolved into a Clean Technology company that develops, manufactures and sells proprietary energy efficiency and SmartGrid networking technology. Prior to January 1, 2007, the Company was primarily engaged in the business of developing, producing and marketing proprietary equipment enabling the transmission of voice and data communications over a building's internal electrical wiring.

In March 2007, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of Smart Systems International ("SSI"), a leading provider of energy management products and solutions to customers in the United States and Canada.

In March 2007, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding membership units of EthoStream, LLC, a network solutions integration company that offers installation, sales and service to the hospitality industry. The EthoStream acquisition enabled Telkonet to provide installation and support for PLC products and third party applications to customers across North America.

In March 2011, the Company sold all its Series 5 PLC power line carrier product line and related assets to Wisconsin-based Dynamic Ratings, Inc. under an Asset Purchase Agreement.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Telkonet Communications, Inc., and EthoStream, LLC. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Going Concern

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. The Company has reported net loss of \$728,824 for the three month period ended March 31, 2012, accumulated deficit of \$119,073,020 and total current liabilities in excess of current assets of \$1,370,281 as of March 31, 2012.

We continue to experience net operating losses and deficits in cash flows from operations. Our ability to continue as a going concern is subject to our ability to generate a profit and/or obtain necessary funding from outside sources, including by the sale of our securities or assets, or obtaining loans from financial institutions, where possible. Our continued net operating losses and the uncertainty regarding contingent liabilities cast doubt on our ability to meet such goals and the Company cannot make any representations for fiscal 2012 and beyond. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties.

The Company believes that anticipated revenues from operations will be insufficient to satisfy its ongoing capital requirements for at least the next 12 months. If the Company's financial resources from operations are insufficient, the Company will require additional funding in order to execute its operating plan and continue as a going concern. The Company cannot predict whether this additional financing will be in the form of equity or debt, or another form. The Company may not be able to obtain the necessary additional capital on a timely basis, on acceptable terms, or at all. In any of these events, the Company may be unable to implement its current plans for expansion, repay its debt obligations as they become due, or respond to competitive pressures, any of which circumstances would have a material adverse effect on its business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Management intends to review the options for raising capital including, but not limited to, asset-based financing, private placements, and/or disposition. Management believes that with this financing, the Company will be able to generate additional revenues that will allow the Company to continue as a going concern. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining additional funding.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company accounts for the fair value of financial instruments in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, which defines fair value for accounting purposes, established a framework for measuring fair value and expanded disclosure requirements regarding fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as an exit price, which is the price that would be received upon sale of an asset or paid upon transfer of a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities generally correlates to the level of pricing observability. Financial assets and liabilities with readily available, actively quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices in active markets generally have more pricing observability and require less judgment in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial assets and liabilities that are rarely traded or not quoted have less price observability and are generally measured at fair value using valuation models that require more judgment. These valuation techniques involve some level of management estimation and judgment, the degree of which is dependent on the price transparency of the asset, liability or market and the nature of the asset or liability. We have categorized our financial assets and liabilities that are recurring, at fair value into a three-level hierarchy in accordance with these provisions.

The following method and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

"Accounts receivable, accounts payable and current portion of long-term debt." The carrying amount of these items approximate fair value.

"Long-term debt." The fair value of long-term debt is determined by a comparison of current rates for similar debt with the same remaining maturities. The Company also considers credit worthiness in determining the fair value of its long-term debt.

Restricted Cash on Deposit

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company was awarded a contract that contained a bonding requirement. The Company satisfied this requirement with cash collateral supported by an irrevocable standby letter of credit in the amount of \$91,000 which expires September 30, 2012. The amount is presented as restricted cash on deposit on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Goodwill and Other Intangibles

In accordance with the accounting guidance on goodwill and other intangible assets, we perform an annual impairment test of goodwill at our reporting unit level and other intangible assets at our unit of account level, or more frequently if events or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of our reporting units below their carrying value. Amortization is recorded for other intangible assets with determinable lives using the straight line method over the 12 year estimated useful life. Goodwill is subject to a periodic impairment assessment by applying a fair value test based upon a two-step method. The first step of the process compares the fair value of the reporting unit with the carrying value of the reporting unit, including any goodwill. We utilize a discounted cash flow valuation methodology to determine the fair value of the reporting unit. This approach is developed from management's forecasted cash flow data. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying amount of the reporting unit, goodwill is deemed not to be impaired. If the carrying amount exceeds fair value, we calculate an impairment loss. Any impairment loss is measured by comparing the implied fair value of goodwill to the carrying amount of goodwill at the reporting unit, with the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value recognized as an impairment loss.

Long-Lived Assets

We review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable in accordance with ASC 360-10. Recoverability is measured by comparison of the carrying amount to the future net cash flows which the assets are expected to generate. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the projected future cash flows arising from the asset determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent to our current business model.

Income (Loss) per Common Share

The Company computes earnings per share under ASC 260-10, Earnings Per Share. Basic net income (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding of common stock. Diluted income (loss) per share is computed using the weighted average number of common and common stock equivalent shares outstanding during the period. There is no effect on diluted income (loss) per share since the majority of common stock equivalents are anti-dilutive. Dilutive common stock equivalents consist of shares issuable upon the exercise of the Company's outstanding stock options and warrants.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740-10 "Income Taxes." Under this method, deferred income taxes (when required) are provided based on the difference between the financial reporting and income tax bases of assets and liabilities and net operating losses at the statutory rates enacted for future periods. The Company has a policy of establishing a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize the benefits of its deferred income tax assets in the future.

The Company adopted ASC 740-10-25, which prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. ASC 740-10-25 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, treatment of interest and penalties, and disclosure of such positions.

The Company also accounts for the uncertainty in income taxes related to the recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in an income tax return. The Company follows the applicable pronouncement guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition related to the uncertainty in these income tax positions.

Revenue Recognition

For revenue from product sales, we recognize revenue in accordance with ASC 605-10, and ASC Topic 13 guidelines that require that four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred; (3) the selling price is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured. Determination of criteria (3) and (4) are based on management's judgments regarding the fixed nature of the selling prices of the products delivered and the collectability of those amounts. Provisions for discounts and rebates to customers, estimated returns and allowances, and other adjustments are provided for in the same period the related sales are recorded. We defer any revenue for which the product has not been delivered or is subject to refund until such time that we and the customer jointly determine that the product has been delivered or no refund will be required. The guidelines also address the accounting for arrangements that may involve the delivery or performance of multiple products, services and/or rights to use assets.

We provide call center support services to properties installed by us and also to properties installed by other providers. In addition, we provide the property with the portal to access the Internet. We receive monthly service fees from such properties for our services and Internet access. We recognize the service fee ratably over the term of the contract. The prices for these services are fixed and determinable prior to delivery of the service. The fair value of these services is known due to objective and reliable evidence from contracts and standalone sales. We report such revenues as recurring revenues.

Total revenues do not include sales tax as we consider ourselves a pass through conduit for collection and remittance of sales tax.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for our stock based awards in accordance with ASC 718-10, Compensation, which requires a fair value measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors, including employee stock options and restricted stock awards. We estimate the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes valuation model. This model requires us to make estimates and assumptions including, among other things, estimates regarding the length of time an employee will hold vested stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of our common stock price and the number of options that will be forfeited prior to vesting. The fair value is then amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards, which is generally the vesting period. Changes in these estimates and assumptions can materially affect the determination of the fair value of stock-based compensation and consequently, the related amount recognized in our consolidated statements of operations.

The expected term of the options represents the estimated period of time until exercise and is based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior. For 2012 and prior years, expected stock price volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock for the related vesting periods.

Stock-based compensation expense in connection with options granted to employees for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$6,165 and \$7,994, respectively.

Deferred Lease Liability

Rent expense is recorded on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Rent escalations and rent abatement periods during the term of the lease create a deferred lease liability which represents the excess of cumulative rent expense recorded to date over the actual rent paid to date.

Lease Abandonment

On July 15, 2011, the Company executed a sublease agreement for approximately 12,000 square feet of commercial office space in Germantown, Maryland. The subtenant has the option to extend the sublease from January 31, 2013 to December 31, 2015. Because we no longer have access to this subleased space, we recorded a charge of \$59,937 in accrued liabilities and expenses related to this abandonment during 2011. The remaining liability at March 31, 2012 is \$35,755.

NOTE B – NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB ASU No. 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs," which is now codified under FASB ASC Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurement." This new guidance provides common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRSs"). Certain fair value measurement principles were clarified or amended in this ASU, such as the application of the highest and best use and valuation premise concepts. New and revised disclosure requirements include: quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs used for all Level 3 fair value measurements and a description of the valuation processes in place, as well as a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of recurring Level 3 fair value measurements; public companies will need to disclose any transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements on a gross basis, including the reason(s) for those transfers; a requirement regarding disclosure on the highest and best use of a nonfinancial asset; and a requirement that all fair value measurements be categorized in the fair value hierarchy with disclosure of that categorization. FASB ASU No. 2011-04 was effective during the three month period ended March 31, 2012. The adoption of this ASU did not impact on our condensed consolidated statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued FASB ASU No. 2011-08, "Testing Goodwill for Impairment," which is now codified under FASB ASC Topic 350, "Intangibles — Goodwill and Other." This new guidance allows an entity to first assess qualitative factors to evaluate if the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination it is necessary to perform the current two-step test. After assessing the totality of events or circumstances, if it is determined it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step impairment test is unnecessary. Otherwise, the entity is required to perform Step 1 of the impairment test. An entity has the option to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to Step 1 of the two-step impairment test, and then resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. Reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts continue to be required to perform a qualitative assessment in place of Step 1 of the impairment test. The new guidance includes examples of events and circumstances an entity should consider in its evaluation of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, such as macroeconomic conditions; industry and market considerations; cost factors; overall financial performance; and other relevant entity-specific events. The examples of events and circumstances included in this ASU supersede the previous examples entities should have considered. FASB ASU No. 2011-08 is effective for our annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed during the year ended December 31, 2012. We did not perform any impairment tests during the three month period ended March 31, 2012 and will be considering the impact of this ASU on our condensed consolidated statements going forward.

NOTE C - INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL

Total identifiable intangible assets acquired and their carrying values at March 31, 2012 are:

	Cost		Accumulated Amortization			rrying Value	Weighted Average Amortization Period (Years)
Amortized Identifiable Intangible Assets:							
Subscriber lists – EthoStream	\$	2,900,000	\$	(1,218,443)	\$	1,681,557	12.0
Total Amortized Identifiable Intangible Assets		2,900,000		(1,218,443)		1,681,557	
Goodwill – EthoStream		5,796,430				5,796,430	
Goodwill – SSI		2,774,016		-		2,774,016	
Total Goodwill		8,570,446		_		8,570,446	
Total	\$	11,470,446	\$	(1,218,443)	\$	10,252,003	

Total identifiable intangible assets acquired and their carrying values at December 31, 2011 are:

	Cost	 ccumulated mortization	1	Empairment	Cai	rrying Value	Average Amortization Period (Years)
Amortized Identifiable Intangible Assets:							
Subscriber lists – EthoStream	\$ 2,900,000	\$ (1,158,023)	\$	-	\$	1,741,977	12.0
Total Amortized Identifiable Intangible		 					
Assets	 2,900,000	 (1,158,023)		<u>-</u>		1,741,977	
Goodwill – EthoStream	5,796,430	 		-		5,796,430	
Goodwill – SSI	5,874,016	-		(3,100,000)		2,774,016	
Total Goodwill	11,670,446			(3,100,000)		8,570,446	
Total	\$ 14,570,446	\$ (1,158,023)	\$	(3,100,000)	\$	10,312,423	

Waighted

Total amortization expense charged to operations for each of the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$60,420.

Estimated amortization expense as of March 31, 2012 is as follows:

Remainder of 2012	\$ 181,260
2013	241,680
2014	241,680
2015	241,680
2016	241,680
2017	241,680
2018 and after	 291,897
Total	\$ 1,681,557

The Company does not amortize goodwill. The Company recorded goodwill in the amount of \$14,670,446 as a result of the acquisitions of EthoStream and SSI during the year ended December 31, 2007. The Company evaluates goodwill for impairment based on the fair value of the operating business units to which this goodwill relates at least once a year. We utilize a discounted cash flow valuation methodology to determine the fair value of the reporting unit. At December 31, 2011, the Company has determined that a portion of the value Smart Systems International's goodwill has been impaired based upon management's assessment of operating results and forecasted discounted cash flow and has written off \$3,100,000. Since acquisition, the Company has written off \$3,000,000 and \$3,100,000 of goodwill for Ethostream and Smart Systems International, respectively.

NOTE D - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Components of accounts receivable as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	March 2012	,	December 31, 2011
Accounts receivable	\$ 95	54,214 \$	1,421,411
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(11	11,400)	(115,400)
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 84	12,814 \$	1,306,011

NOTE E – INVENTORY

Components of inventories as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	M	March 31,		ecember31,
		2012		2011
Finished goods	\$	951,011	\$	387,210
Reserve for obsolescence		(75,000)		(65,000)
Inventory, net	\$	876,011	\$	322,210

NOTE F – ACCRUED LIABILITIES AND EXPENSES

Accrued liabilities and expenses at March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	2012	2011		
Accrued liabilities and expenses	\$ 621,286	\$	684,823	
Accrued payroll and payroll taxes	367,100		285,048	
Accrued sales taxes, penalties, and interest	1,126,652		1,068,314	
Accrued interest	43,619		33,600	
Warranty	111,423		104,423	
Total	\$ 2,270,080	\$	2,176,208	

NOTE G – LONG TERM DEBT

Business Loan

On September 11, 2009, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement in the aggregate principal amount of \$300,000 with the Wisconsin Department of Commerce (the "Department"). The outstanding principal balance bears interest at the annual rate of 2%. Payment of interest and principal is to be made in the following manner: (a) payment of any and all interest that accrues from the date of disbursement commenced on January 1, 2010 and continued on the first day of each consecutive month thereafter through and including December 31, 2010; (b) commencing on January 1, 2011 and continuing on the first day of each consecutive month thereafter through and including November 1, 2016, the Company shall pay equal monthly installments of \$4,426 each; followed by a final installment on December 1, 2016 which shall include all remaining principal, accrued interest and other amounts owed by the Company to the Department under the Loan Agreement. The Company may prepay amounts outstanding under the credit facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty. The Loan Agreement is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets and the proceeds from this loan were used for the working capital requirements of the Company. The Loan Agreement contains covenants which require, among other things, that the Company shall keep and maintain 75 existing full-time positions and create and fill 35 additional full-time positions in Milwaukee, Wisconsin by December 31, 2012. For each new full time position not kept, created or maintained, the Company will be required to pay a penalty consisting of an incremental increase in the interest rate not to exceed 4%. As of April 30, 2012, the Company has 77 full-time employees in Wisconsin, of which 17 are newly created full-time positions. The outstanding borrowings under the agreement as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$240,417 and \$252,454, respectively.

Promissory Note #1

On March 4, 2011, the Company sold all its Series 5 PLC product line assets to Wisconsin-based Dynamic Ratings, Inc. ("Purchaser") under an Asset Purchase Agreement ("APA"). Per the APA, the Company signed an unsecured Promissory Note ("Note #1") due to Purchaser in the aggregate principal amount of \$700,000. The outstanding principal balance bears interest at the annual rate of 6% and is due on March 31, 2014. Note #1 may be prepaid in whole or in part, without penalty at any time, however scheduled payments are due on June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013. Payments will be applied first to accrued but unpaid interest and then to principal. Note #1 contains certain earn-out provisions that encompass both the Company's and Purchaser's revenue volumes. Provided these provisions are met, the Company could potentially retire Note #1 prior to its expiration date. As of March 31, 2012, the non cash reduction of principal calculated under these provisions and classified as notes payable-current is \$15,408. Payments not made when due, by maturity acceleration or otherwise, shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum from the date due until fully paid. The outstanding principal balance of this note as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was \$700,000.

Promissory Note #2

From the sale of its Series 5 PLC product line assets, the Company used the proceeds received to retire substantially all of its obligations under its \$1.6 million senior convertible debenture due May 29, 2011 and to cancel the related warrants covering 11.7 million shares of the Company's common stock. In exchange for the early retirement of debt and cancellation of warrants, the Company provided the third party with an unsecured one-year promissory note ("Note #2") for \$50,000. The outstanding principal balance bore interest at the annual rate of 5.25% and was due on March 4, 2012. This note was paid in full prior to March 31, 2012.

Aggregate maturities of long-term debt as of March 31, 2012 are as follows:

For the years ending December 31,	Amount		
2012 (Remainder of)	\$	51,878	
2013		49,485	
2014		735,076	
2015		51,503	
2016		52,475	
		940,417	
Less: Current portion		(64,156	
Total Long term portion	\$	876,261	

NOTE H – REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

Series A

The Company has designated 215 shares of preferred stock as Series A Preferred Stock ("Series A"). Each share of Series A is convertible, at the option of the holder thereof, at any time, into shares of our Common Stock at an initial conversion price of \$0.363 per share. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the purchase agreement with respect to the Series A), or at the holder's option, on November 19, 2014 and for a period of 180 days thereafter, provided that at least 50% of the shares of Series A issued on the Series A Original Issue Date remain outstanding as of November 19, 2014, and the holders of at least a majority of the then outstanding shares of Series A provide written notice requesting redemption of all shares of Series A, we are required to redeem the Series A for the purchase price plus any accrued but unpaid dividends. The Series A accrues dividends at an annual rate of 8% of the original purchase price, payable only when, as, and if declared by the Board of Directors of Telkonet.

On November 16, 2009, the Company sold 215 shares of Series A with attached warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,628,800 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.33 per share. The Series A shares were sold at a price per share of \$5,000 and each Series A share is convertible into approximately 13,774 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$0.363 per share. The Company received \$1,075,000 from the sale of the Series A shares. Since the Series A may ultimately be redeemable at the option of the holder, the carrying value of the preferred stock, net of discount and accumulated dividends, has been classified as redeemable preferred stock on the consolidated balance sheets.

In accordance with ASC 470 Topic "Debt", a portion of the proceeds were allocated to the warrants based on their relative fair value, which totaled \$287,106 using the Black Scholes option pricing model. Further, the Company attributed a beneficial conversion feature of \$70,922 to the Series A preferred shares based upon the difference between the effective conversion price of those shares and the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model are as follows: (1) dividend yield of 0%; (2) expected volatility of 123%, (3) weighted average risk-free interest rate of 2.2%, (4) expected life of 5 years, and (5) estimated fair value of Telkonet common stock of \$0.24 per share. The expected term of the warrants represents the estimated period of time until exercise and is based on historical experience of similar awards and giving consideration to the contractual terms. The amounts attributable to the warrants and beneficial conversion feature, aggregating \$358,028, have been recorded as a discount and deducted from the face value of the preferred stock. The discount is being amortized over the period from issuance to November 19, 2014 (the initial redemption date) as a charge to additional paid-in capital (since there is a deficit in retained earnings).

The charge to additional paid in capital for amortization of Series A discount and costs for each of the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$17,901.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 we accrued dividends for Series A shares in the amount of \$18,454 and \$21,212, respectively, and cumulative accrued dividends of \$195,302 as of March 31, 2012. The accrued dividends have been charged to additional paid-in capital (since there is a deficit in retained earnings) and the net unpaid accrued dividends been added to the carrying value of the Series A shares.

Series B

The Company has designated 538 shares of preferred stock as Series B Preferred Stock ("Series B"). Each share of Series B is convertible, at the option of the holder thereof, at any time, into shares of our Common Stock at an initial conversion price of \$0.13 per share. In the event of a change of control (as defined in the purchase agreement with respect to the Series B), or at the holder's option, on August 4, 2015 and for a period of 180 days thereafter, provided that at least 50% of the shares of Series B issued on the Series B Original Issue Date remain outstanding as of August 4, 2015, and the holders of at least a majority of the then outstanding shares of Series B provide written notice requesting redemption of all shares of Series B, we are required to redeem the Series B for the purchase price plus any accrued but unpaid dividends. The Series B accrues dividends at an annual rate of 8% of the original purchase price, payable only when, as, and if declared by our Board of Directors.

On August 4, 2010, the Company sold 267 shares of Series B with attached warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,134,626 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.13 per share. The Series B shares were sold at a price per share of \$5,000 and each Series B share is convertible into approximately 38,461 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$0.13 per share. The Company received \$1,335,000 from the sale of the Series B shares. Since the Series B may ultimately be redeemable at the option of the holder, the carrying value of the preferred stock, net of discount and accumulated dividends, has been classified as redeemable preferred stock on the consolidated balance sheets

In accordance with ASC 470 Topic "Debt", a portion of the proceeds was allocated to the warrants based on their relative fair value, which totaled \$394,350 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Further, the Company attributed a beneficial conversion feature of \$394,350 to the Series B preferred shares based upon the difference between the effective conversion price of those shares and the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model are as follows: (1) dividend yield of 0%; (2) expected volatility of 123%, (3) weighted average risk-free interest rate of 1.76%, (4) expected life of approximately 4 years, and (5) estimated fair value of Telkonet common stock of \$0.109 per share. The expected term of the warrants represents the estimated period of time until exercise and is based on historical experience of similar awards and giving consideration to the contractual terms. The amounts attributable to the warrants and beneficial conversion feature, aggregating \$788,700, have been recorded as a discount and deducted from the face value of the preferred stock. The discount is being amortized over the period from issuance to August 4, 2015 (the initial redemption date) as a charge to additional paid-in capital (since there is a deficit in retained earnings).

On April 8, 2011, the Company sold 271 additional shares of Series B with attached warrants to purchase an aggregate of 5,211,542 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.13 per share. The Series B shares were sold at a price per share of \$5,000 and each Series B share is convertible into approximately 38,461 shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$0.13 per share. The Company received \$1,355,000 from the sale of the Series B shares. Since the Series B shares may ultimately be redeemable at the option of the holder, the carrying value of the Series B shares, net of discount and accumulated dividends, has been classified as redeemable preferred stock on the consolidated balance sheets.

In accordance with ASC 470 Topic "Debt", a portion of the proceeds was allocated to the warrants based on their relative fair value, which totaled \$427,895 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Further, the Company attributed a beneficial conversion feature of \$427,895 to the Series B shares based upon the difference between the effective conversion price of those shares and the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of issuance. The assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model are as follows: (1) dividend yield of 0%; (2) expected volatility of 129%, (3) weighted average risk-free interest rate of 0.26%, (4) expected life of approximately 3.5 years, and (5) estimated fair value of Telkonet common stock of \$0.12 per share. The expected term of the warrants represents the estimated period of time until exercise and is based on historical experience of similar awards and giving consideration to the contractual terms. The amounts attributable to the warrants and beneficial conversion feature, aggregating \$855,790, have been recorded as a discount and deducted from the face value of the Series B shares. The discount is being amortized over the period from issuance to November 19, 2014 (the initial redemption date) as a charge to additional paid-in capital (since there is a deficit in retained earnings).

The charge to additional paid in capital for amortization of Series B discount and costs for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$105,210, and \$39,438, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 we accrued dividends for Series B in the amount of \$49,188 and \$26,348, respectively, and have cumulative accrued dividends of \$271,185 as of March 31, 2012. The accrued dividends have been charged to additional paid-in capital (since there is a deficit in retained earnings) and the net unpaid accrued dividends been added to the carrying value of the preferred stock.

Preferred stock carries certain preference rights as detailed in the Company's Amended Articles of Incorporation related to both the payment of dividends and as to payments upon liquidation in preference to any other class or series of capital stock of the Company. Liquidation preference of the preferred stock is based on the following order: first, Series B with a preference value of \$2,465,000 and second, Series A with a preference value of \$925.000. With respect to dividends, both series of preferred stock are equal in their preference over common stock.

NOTE I – CAPITAL STOCK

The Company has authorized 15,000,000 shares of preferred stock (designated and undesignated), with a par value of \$.001 per share. As of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company has 215 and 538 shares of preferred stock issued and 185 and 493 shares outstanding, designated Series A and B preferred stock, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company issued 165,568 shares of common stock to directors and management for services performed through March 31, 2012. These shares were valued at \$30,000, which approximated the fair value of the shares when they were issued.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 the Company issued 210,856 shares of common stock to directors and management for services performed through March 31, 2011. These shares were valued at \$24,500, which approximated the fair value of the shares when they were issued.

NOTE J – STOCK OPTIONS AND WARRANTS

Employee Stock Options

The Company maintains two stock option plans. The first plan was initiated in the year 2000 and was established as a long term incentive plan for employees and consultants, including board of director members. The second plan was established in 2010 also as an incentive plan for officers, employees, non employee directors, prospective employees and other key persons. It is anticipated that providing such persons with a direct stake in the Company's welfare will assure a better alignment of their interests with those of the Company and its stockholders.

The following table summarizes the changes in options outstanding and the related prices for the shares of the Company's common stock issued to employees of the Company under a non-qualified employee stock option plan. No new grants may be made under the 2000 stock option plan, which expired April 23, 2012.

	Options Outstanding				Options	Exercisable		
			Weighted Average Remaining		_			
		Number	Contractual Life	Weighte	d Average	Number	Weighted Average	
Ex	xercise Prices	Outstanding	(Years)	Exercise Price		Exercisable	Exercise Price	
\$	0.01 - \$0.99	175,000	5.57	\$	0.14	158,954	\$.14	
\$	1.00 - 1.99	225,000	1.29		1.00	225,000	1.00	
\$	2.00 - 2.99	70,000	2.52		2.65	60,000	2.64	
\$	3.00 - 3.99	40,000	3.95		3.09	40,000	3.09	
\$	4.00 - 4.99	35,000	3.49		4.27	35,000	4.27	
\$	5.00 - 5.99	20,000	3.33		5.60	20,000	5.60	
		565,000	3.17	\$	1.45	538,954	\$ 1.47	

Transactions involving stock options issued to employees are summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Price Per Share	
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	2,548,800	\$	1.57
Granted	_		_
Exercised	_		—
Cancelled or expired	(1,863,800)		1.10
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	685,000	\$	1.45
Granted			
Exercised	_		_
Cancelled or expired	(120,000)		1.43
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	565,000	\$	1.45

The expected life of awards granted represents the period of time that they are expected to be outstanding. We determine the expected life based on historical experience with similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms, vesting schedules, exercise patterns and pre-vesting and post-vesting forfeitures. We estimate the volatility of our common stock based on the calculated historical volatility of our own common stock using the trailing 24 months of share price data prior to the date of the award. We base the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option valuation model on the implied yield currently available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with an equivalent remaining term equal to the expected life of the award. We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Consequently, we use an expected dividend yield of zero in the Black-Scholes option valuation model. We use historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and record share-based compensation for those awards that are expected to vest. In accordance with ASC 718-10, we adjust share-based compensation for changes to the estimate of expected equity award forfeitures based on actual forfeiture experience.

There were no options granted or exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. Total stock-based compensation expense in connection with options granted to employees recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$6,165 and \$7,994, respectively.

Non-Employee Stock Options

The following table summarizes the changes in options outstanding and the related prices for the shares of the Company's common stock issued to the Company consultants. These options were granted in lieu of cash compensation for services performed.

Options Outstanding				Options Exercisable			
•		Weighted Average					
		Remaining					
	Number	Contractual Life	Weighted Average	Number	Weighted Average		
Exercise Price	Outstanding	(Years)	Exercise Price	Exercisable	Exercise Price		
\$ 1.00	25,000	.01	\$ 1.00	25,000	\$ 1.00		
•							

Transactions involving options issued to non-employees are summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Av	Weighted erage Price Per Share
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	425,000	\$	1.00
Granted	_		_
Exercised	_		_
Canceled or expired	_		_
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	425,000	\$	1.00
Granted			
Exercised	_		_
Canceled or expired	(400,000)		1.00
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	25,000	\$	1.00

There were no non-employee stock options vested during the three month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011.

Warrants

The following table summarizes the changes in warrants outstanding and the related prices for the shares of the Company's common stock issued to non-employees of the Company. These warrants were granted in lieu of cash compensation for services performed or financing expenses and in connection with the issuance of Series A and B redeemable preferred stock.

		Warrants Outstanding				Warrants	Exer	cisable
			Weighted Average Remaining					_
		Number	Contractual Life	Weigh	nted Average	Number	We	ighted Average
]	Exercise Prices	Outstanding	(Years)	Exe	rcise Price	Exercisable	I	Exercise Price
\$	0.13	10,346,168	3.71	\$	0.13	10,346,168	\$	0.13
	0.33	1,628,800	2.64		0.33	1,628,800		0.33
	0.60	800,000	1.10		0.60	800,000		0.60
	3.01	962,330	2.25		3.01	962,330		3.01
	4.17	359,712	.31		4.17	359,712		4.17
		14,097,010	3.25	\$.48	14,097,010	\$.48

Transactions involving warrants are summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	A	reighted verage Per Share
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	22,104,742	\$	0.51
Issued	5,336,816		0.20
Exercised	_		
Canceled or expired	(12,729,694)		0.34
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	14,711,864		0.50
Issued	_		
Exercised	<u> </u>		_
Canceled or expired	(614,854)		1.04
Outstanding at March 31, 2012	14,097,010	\$.48

The Company did not issue any warrants during the three month period ended March 31, 2012.

NOTE K - RELATED PARTIES

From time to time the Company may receive advances from certain of its officers in the form of salary deferment and cash advances, to meet short term working capital needs. These advances may not have formal repayment terms or arrangements. There were no such deferments or advances outstanding as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

NOTE L - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Office Lease Obligations

The Company presently leases approximately 14,000 square feet of office space in Milwaukee, Wisconsin for its corporate headquarters. The Milwaukee lease expires in March 2020.

The Company presently leases 16,416 square feet of commercial office space in Germantown, Maryland. The lease commitments expire in December 2015. On July 15, 2011, Telkonet executed a sublease agreement for 11,626 square feet of the office space in Germantown, Maryland. The sublease term will expire on January 31, 2013. The subtenant received a one month rent abatement and has the option to extend the sublease from January 31, 2013 to December 31, 2015.

Commitments for minimum rentals under non cancelable leases at March 31, 2012 are as follows:

2012 (Remainder of)	\$ 290,970
2013	402,948
2014	414,263
2015	426,399
2016	169,156
2017 and thereafter	584,277
Total	\$2,288,013

The table above does not reflect expected rentals to be received under the sublease agreement. Future receipts under the sublease agreement are expected to be \$95,423 for the remainder of 2012 and \$10,777 in 2013, respectively.

Rental expenses charged to operations for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are \$133,040 and 169,992, respectively. Rental income received for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was \$31,389.

Employment Agreements

The Company has employment agreements with certain of its key employees which include non-disclosure and confidentiality provisions for protection of the Company's proprietary information.

Jason L. Tienor, President and Chief Executive Officer, is employed pursuant to an employment agreement dated May 1, 2012. Mr. Tienor's employment agreement is for a term expiring on May 1, 2014, is renewable at the agreement of the parties and provides for a base salary of \$200,000 per year.

Jeffrey J. Sobieski, Chief Technology Officer, is employed pursuant to an employment agreement, dated May 1, 2012. Mr. Sobieski's employment agreement is for a term expiring on May 1, 2014, is renewable at the agreement of the parties and provides for a base salary of \$190,000 per year.

Richard E. Mushrush, Chief Financial Officer, is employed pursuant to an employment agreement, dated May 1, 2012. Mr. Mushrush's employment agreement is for a term expiring on May 1, 2013, is renewable at the agreement of the parties and provides for a base salary of \$110,000 per year.

Gerrit J. Reinders, Executive Vice President-Global Sales and Marketing, is employed pursuant to an employment agreement, dated May 1, 2012. Mr. Reinder's employment agreement is for a term expiring on May 1, 2013, is renewable at the agreement of the parties and provides for a base salary of \$150,000 per year.

Matthew P. Koch, Chief Operating Officer, is employed pursuant to an employment agreement, dated May 1, 2012. Mr. Koch's employment agreement is for a term expiring on May 1, 2013, is renewable at the agreement of the parties and provides for a base salary of \$130,000 per year.

Litigation

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of its business. Although occasional adverse decisions or settlements may occur, the Company believes that the final disposition of such matters should not have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Linksmart Wireless Technology, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.

On July 1, 2008, Linksmart Wireless Technology, LLC, or Linksmart, filed a civil lawsuit in the Eastern District of Texas against EthoStream, LLC, our wholly-owned subsidiary and 22 other defendants (*Linksmart Wireless Technology, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., et al*, U.S. District Court, for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division, No.2:08-cv-00264-TJW-CE). This lawsuit alleges that the defendants' services infringe a wireless network security patent held by Linksmart. Linksmart seeks a permanent injunction enjoining the defendants from infringing, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of its patent, an award of damages and attorney's fees.

On August 1, 2008, we timely filed an answer to the complaint denying the allegations. On February 27, 2009, the USPTO granted a reexamination request with respect to the patent in issue in this lawsuit. Based upon four highly relevant and material prior art references that had not been considered by the USPTO in its initial examination, it found a "substantial new question of patentability" affecting all claims of the patent in suit. On August 2, 2010, the USPTO issued a Final Office Action rejecting every claim of the patent in suit. If this action is upheld on appeal it will result in the elimination of all of the issues in the pending litigation. There is a possibility that the claims of the patent will be reinstated on appeal either in their original form or as amended.

Defendant Ramada Worldwide, Inc. provided us with notice of the suit and demanded that we defend and indemnify it pursuant to a vendor direct supplier agreement between EthoStream and WWC Supplier Services, Inc., a Ramada affiliate (wherein we agreed to indemnify, defend and hold only Ethostream supported Ramada properties harmless from and against claims of infringement). After a review of that agreement, it was determined that EthoStream owes the duty to defend and indemnify with respect to services provided by Telkonet to Ramada and it has assumed Ramada's defense. An answer on Ramada's behalf was filed in U.S. District Court, for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division on September 19, 2008.

The parties agreed to and the Court ordered a stay of the litigation pending the conclusion of the reexamination proceeding. The case was reopened in early 2012 based on the expectation that the USPTO will issue a reexamination certificate and as of March 16, 2012, a new judge was assigned to the case in view of the impending retirement of the originally assigned judge. A new schedule for the case is expected to be determined by the new judge.

Robert P. Crabb v Telkonet Inc.

On November 9, 2010, a former executive, Robert P. Crabb, served Telkonet, Inc. and Telkonet Communications, Inc. ("Telkonet") with a Complaint in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, MD alleging (1) violation of Maryland's Wage Payment and Collection Act (2) Breach of Contract and (3) Promissory Estoppel/Detrimental Reliance. The claims in his Complaint arose out of his retirement in September 2007. In terms of relief, Mr. Crabb sought "severance compensation" in the amount of \$156,000, treble damages, interest, and attorneys' fees. This lawsuit was resolved as part of a voluntary settlement prior to the scheduled four day jury trial beginning on December 12, 2011. On January 25, 2012, the Court entered the parties' joint Stipulation of Dismissal.

In the case of *Robert P. Crabb v Telkonet, Inc.*, the parties executed a settlement agreement and general release on January 20, 2012 for \$127,000. Terms of the agreement called for Telkonet to make an initial payment of \$27,000 on January 27, 2012. The remaining balance is to be paid in three equal installments on or before March 1, June 1 and September 1, 2012. If Telkonet fails to make any of the above-specified payments within ten (10) days of the specified date, Telkonet shall be deemed in default. In the event of such a default, Mr. Crabb may, at his option, demand the entire balance due (and unpaid) and shall be entitled to 6% interest on \$155,000 from May 1, 2008.

Stephen L. Sadle v. Telkonet, Inc.

On April 15, 2011, a former executive, Stephen L. Sadle, served Telkonet, Inc. and Telkonet Communications, Inc. ("Telkonet") with a Complaint in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, MD alleging (1) Breach of Contract, (2) Promissory Estoppel/Detrimental Reliance and (3) violation of Maryland's Wage Payment and Collection Act. The three claims in his Complaint each arise out of his departure in 2007. On May 27, 2011, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss Mr. Sadle's claims. On August 10, 2011, the court granted in full the Defendants' motion to dismiss.

Specifically, the Court dismissed, with prejudice, Plaintiff's claim under the Maryland Wage Payment and Collection Act. However, as part of its Order, the Court permitted Plaintiff to amend his Complaint as to his Breach of Contract (Count II) and Promissory Estoppel/Detrimental Reliance (Count III) claims only within 30 days. On September 14, 2011, Mr. Sadle filed his First Amended Complaint. On September 30, 2011, Telkonet filed its Answer and Counterclaims for Negligence (based on a fiduciary duty) and Recoupment. Mr. Sadle has not yet filed an Answer to Telkonet's counterclaims. The parties have exchanged written discovery and scheduled preliminary depositions. A hearing on the pending cross-motions for summary judgment was held on March 21, 2012.

In terms of relief, Mr. Sadle is seeking "severance compensation" in the amount of \$195,000, treble damages, interest, and attorneys' fees. Treble damages and attorneys' fees are only available under the Maryland Wage Payment and Collection Act, however, and therefore should no longer be available to Mr. Sadle in light of the dismissal of that particular claim. Mr. Sadle's Complaint provides no specific accounting for the relief sought. The trial in this case is set for May 14, 2012.

Sales Tax

The Company engaged a sales tax consultant to assist in determining the extent of its potential sales tax exposure. Based upon this analysis, management determined the Company had probable exposure for certain unpaid obligations, including interest and penalty, of approximately \$1,100,000 including and prior to the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company has approximately \$1,127,000 accrued as of March 31, 2012. The Company intends to manage the liability by (1) confirming if customers self-assessed and remitted tax to the applicable state(s) absent from our transactions (2) confirming if customers were subjected to a state audit and if so did it result in the customer paying tax absent from our transaction (3) invoicing customers for the back taxes and (4) establishing voluntary disclosure agreements with the applicable states, which establishes a maximum look-back period and payment arrangements. However, if the aforementioned methods prove unsuccessful and the Company is examined or challenged by taxing authorities, there exists possible exposure of an additional \$620,000, not including any applicable interest and penalties.

NOTE M – BUSINESS CONCENTRATION

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, no single customer represented 10% or more of total net revenues. Revenues from one major customer approximated \$235,000 or 10% of the Company's total net revenues for the three month period ended March 31, 2011. Total accounts receivable of \$195,475 or 23% of total accounts receivable, was due from this customer as of March 31, 2011.

Purchases from two major suppliers approximated \$571,548, or 79%, of purchases, and \$227,500, or 75%, of purchases, for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Total due to these suppliers, net of deposits was approximately \$0 as of March 31, 2012, and \$76,938, or 4% of total accounts payable, as of March 31, 2011.

NOTE N – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The financial assets of the Company measured at fair value on a recurring basis are cash equivalents and long-term marketable securities. The Company's long term marketable securities are generally classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. The Company's long-term investments are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using unobservable inputs, due to the fact that observable inputs are not available, or situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date. The Company's derivative liabilities and convertible debentures are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using inputs which are not actively observable, either directly or indirectly.

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;
- Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; or
- Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and are unobservable.

The following table sets forth the Company's derivative liability as of March 31, 2011 which is measured at fair value on a recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy. These are classified based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Derivative liability	-	-	570,569	570,569
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 570,569	\$ 570,569

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial liabilities (derivative liability) for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

	2011
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 1,901,775
Repayment of debt and warrants related to derivative liability	(1,158,729)
Change in fair value of derivative liability	(172,476)
Balance at end of period	\$ 570,569

The following table sets forth certain Company assets as of December 31, 2011 which are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy. These are classified based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

	Lev	el 1	Level 2		 Level 3	 Total
Goodwill-SSI	\$	_	\$	-	\$ 2,774,016	\$ 2,774,016
Total	\$	_	\$		\$ 2,774,016	\$ 2,774,016

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 assets (Goodwill-SSI) measured on a non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2011.

	2	2011
Balance at beginning of year	\$	5,874,016
Impairment of carried value	(:	3,100,000)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$	2,774,016

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, as well as the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto and management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed April 23, 2012.

Business

Telkonet, Inc., formed in 1999 and incorporated under the laws of the state of Utah, is a Clean Technology company that designs, develops and markets proprietary energy efficiency and smart grid networking products and services. Our SmartEnergy, EcoSmart and Series 5 SmartGrid networking technologies enable us to provide innovative clean technology solutions and have helped position Telkonet as a leading Clean Technology provider.

Our Telkonet SmartEnergy, Networked Telkonet SmartEnergy and EcoSmart energy efficiency products incorporate our patented Recovery TimeTM technology, providing continuous monitoring of climate and environmental conditions to dynamically adjust a room's temperature, accounting for the occupancy of the room. Our SmartEnergy and EcoSmart platforms maximize energy savings while at the same time ensuring occupant comfort and extending equipment life expectancy. This technology is particularly attractive to customers in the hospitality industry, as well as the education, healthcare and government/military markets, who are continually seeking ways to reduce costs and meet federal and state mandates without impacting building occupant comfort. By reducing energy consumption automatically when a space is unoccupied, our customers can realize significant cost savings without diminishing occupant comfort. This technology may also be integrated with property management systems and building automation systems and used in load shedding initiatives. This feature provides management companies and utilities enhanced opportunity for cost savings, environmental awareness and energy management. Telkonet's energy management systems are lowering heating, ventilation and air conditioning, or HVAC, costs in hundreds of thousands of rooms worldwide and qualify for state and federal energy efficiency and rebate programs.

The Series 5 SmartGrid networking technology allows commercial, industrial and consumer users to connect computers to a communications network using the existing low voltage electrical grid. The Series 5 SmartGrid networking technology uses powerline communications, or PLC, technology to transform existing electrical infrastructure into a communications backbone. Operating at 200 Mbps, the PLC platform offers a secure alternative in grid communications, transforming a traditional electrical distribution system into a "smart grid" that delivers electricity in a manner that can save energy, reduce cost and increase reliability.

On March 4, 2011, the Company sold its Series 5 Power Line Carrier product line and related business assets to Dynamic Ratings ("Dynamic Ratings"). The sales price was \$1,000,000 in cash. In connection with the sale, Dynamic Ratings lent the Company an additional \$700,000 in the form of a 6% promissory note dated March 4, 2011. Concurrent with the sale, the Company entered into a Distributorship Agreement and a Consulting Agreement with Dynamic Ratings. Under the Distributorship Agreement, the Company was designated as a distributor of the Series 5 product to the non-utility sector and will receive preferred pricing for purchases of Series 5 product. Under the Consulting Agreement, the Company agreed to provide Dynamic Ratings with ongoing transition assistance and consulting services for the Series 5 product. The Distributorship Agreement and the Consulting Agreement have initial terms that expire on March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2013, respectively. Sales incentives and consulting compensation amounts payable to the Company under the Distributorship Agreement and the Consulting Agreement will be applied to pay the balance of the promissory note.

Telkonet's EthoStream Hospitality Network is now one of the largest high speed internet access (HSIA) solution providers in the world, with a customer base of more than 2,300 properties representing approximately 223,000 hotel rooms. This network provides Telkonet with the opportunity to market our energy efficiency solutions. In addition, more than 4.6 million users access the internet monthly via the EthoStream Hospitality Network providing Telkonet with a growing captive audience for promotional relationships. The EthoStream Hospitality Network is backed by a 24/7 U.S.-based in-house support center that uses integrated, web-based management tools enabling proactive customer support. We utilize direct and indirect sales channels in all areas of our business. With a growing Value-Added Reseller (VAR) network, we continue to broaden our reach throughout the industry. Utilizing key integrators and strategic partners, we've been able to increase penetration in each of our targeted markets. The impact of this effort is a growing percentage of Telkonet's business is driven by our indirect sales channels.

Our direct sales efforts target the hospitality, education, commercial, utility and government/military markets. Taking advantage of legislation, including the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, or EISA, the Energy Policy Act of 2005, and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act we've focused our sales efforts in areas with available public funding and incentives, such as rebate programs offered by utilities for efficiency upgrades. Through our proprietary platform, technology and partnerships with energy efficiency providers, we intend to position our Company as a leading provider of energy management solutions.

Forward Looking Statements

In accordance with the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we can obtain a "safe-harbor" for forward-looking statements by identifying those statements and by accompanying those statements with cautionary statements which identify factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Accordingly, the following "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" may contain certain forward-looking statements regarding strategic growth initiatives, growth opportunities and management's expectations regarding orders and financial results for 2012 and future periods. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and current assumptions which management believes are reasonable. However, these statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from any future results encompassed within the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those risks affecting the Company's business as described in the Company's filings with the SEC, including the current reports on Form 8-K, which factors are incorporated herein by reference. The Company expressly disclaims a duty to provide updates to forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or other occurrences.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate significant estimates used in preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements including those related to revenue recognition, fair value of financial instruments, guarantees and product warranties, sales tax obligations, stock based compensation, potential impairment of goodwill and other long lived assets and business combinations. We base our estimates on historical experience, underlying run rates and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The following are critical judgments, assumptions, and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

For revenue from product sales, we recognize revenue in accordance with ASC 605-10, and ASC Topic 13 guidelines that require that four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred; (3) the selling price is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured. Determination of criteria (3) and (4) are based on management's judgments regarding the fixed nature of the selling prices of the products delivered and the collectability of those amounts. Provisions for discounts and rebates to customers, estimated returns and allowances, and other adjustments are provided for in the same period the related sales are recorded. We defer any revenue for which the product has not been delivered or is subject to refund until such time that we and the customer jointly determine that the product has been delivered or no refund will be required. The guidelines also address the accounting for arrangements that may involve the delivery or performance of multiple products, services and/or rights to use assets.

We provide call center support services to properties installed by us and also to properties installed by other providers. In addition, we provide the property with the portal to access the Internet. We receive monthly service fees from such properties for our services and Internet access. We recognize the service fee ratably over the term of the contract. The prices for these services are fixed and determinable prior to delivery of the service. The fair value of these services is known due to objective and reliable evidence from contracts and standalone sales. We report such revenues as recurring revenues.

Total revenues do not include sales tax as we consider ourselves a pass through conduit for collection and remitting sales tax.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company accounts for the fair value of financial instruments in accordance with ASC 820, which defines fair value for accounting purposes, established a framework for measuring fair value and expand disclosure requirements regarding fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as an exit price, which is the price that would be received upon sale of an asset or paid upon transfer of a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The degree of judgment utilized in measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities generally correlates to the level of pricing observability. Financial assets and liabilities with readily available, actively quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices in active markets generally have more pricing observability and require less judgment in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial assets and liabilities that are rarely traded or not quoted have less price observability and are generally measured at fair value using valuation models that require more judgment. These valuation techniques involve some level of management estimation and judgment, the degree of which is dependent on the price transparency of the asset, liability or market and the nature of the asset or liability. We have categorized our financial assets and liabilities that are recurring, at fair value into a three-level hierarchy in accordance with these provisions.

New Accounting Pronouncements

For information regarding recent accounting pronouncements and their effect on the Company, see "New Accounting Pronouncements" in Note B of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained herein.

Revenues

The table below outlines product versus recurring revenues for comparable periods:

					Three Months Ended	i			
		March 31	, 2012		March 31, 20	Variance			
D. 1 . 1	¢.	017.020	400/	¢.	1 251 072	5.40/	¢.	(422 142)	220/
Product	2	917,929	48%	Э	1,351,072	54%	2	(433,143)	-32%
Recurring		1,010,672	52%		1,131,627	46%		(120,955)	-11%
Total	\$	1,928,601	100%	\$	2,482,699	100%	\$	(554,098)	-22%

Product revenue

Product revenue principally arises from the sale and installation of SmartEnergy, SmartGrid and High Speed Internet Access equipment. These include TSE, Telkonet Series 5, Telkonet iWire, and wireless networking products. We market and sell to the hospitality, education, healthcare and government/military markets. The Telkonet Series 5 and the Telkonet iWire products consist of the Telkonet Gateways, Telkonet Extenders, the patented Telkonet Coupler, and Telkonet iBridges. The SmartEnergy product suite consists of thermostats, sensors, controllers, wireless networking products and a control platform. The HSIA product suite consists of gateway servers, switches and access points.

For the three months ended March 31, 2012, product revenue decreased by 32% when compared to the prior year period. Product revenue in 2012 includes approximately \$0.4 million attributed to the sale and installation of energy management products, and approximately \$0.5 million for the sale and installation of HSIA products. Since our sales of energy management and HSIA products are primarily concentrated in the hospitality market, we have been significantly impacted by the current economic downturn, as industry capital expenditures were reduced and/or eliminated.

Recurring Revenue

Recurring revenue is primarily attributed to recurring services. The Company recognizes revenue ratably over the service month for monthly support revenues and defers revenue for annual support services over the term of the service period. The recurring revenue consists primarily of HSIA support services and advertising revenue. Advertising revenue is based on impression-based statistics for a given period from customer site visits to the Company's login portal page under the terms of advertising agreements entered into with third-parties. A component of our recurring revenue is derived from fees, less pay back costs, associated with approximately 1% of our hospitality customers who do not internally manage guest-related, internet transactions.

Recurring revenue includes approximately 2,300 hotels in our broadband network portfolio. We currently support approximately 223,000 HSIA rooms, with approximately 4.6 million monthly users. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, recurring revenue decreased by 11% when compared to the prior year period. The decrease of recurring revenue was attributed to a decrease in advertising revenue.

Cost of Sales

				Three Months Ended					
	March 31, 2012			March 31, 2011			Variance		
Product	\$ 600,809	65%	\$	708,270	52%	\$	(107,461)	-15%	
Recurring	289,909	29%		263,869	23%		26,040	10%	
Total	\$ 890,718	46%	\$	972,139	39%	\$	(81,421)	-8%	

Product Costs

Costs of product sales include equipment and installation labor related to the sale of SmartGrid and broadband networking equipment, including EcoSmart technology, Telkonet Series 5 and Telkonet iWire. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, product costs decreased by 15% when compared to the prior year period. The decrease was in correlation to decreased product sales.

Recurring Costs

Recurring costs are comprised of labor and telecommunication services for our Customer Service department. For the three months ended March 31, 2012, recurring costs increased by 10% when compared to the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to additional customer support staff and related expenses.

Gross Profit

			Three Months End	ed			
	 March 31, 2012		 March 31, 2011		Variance		
Product	\$ 317,120	35%	\$ 642,802	48%	\$	(325,682)	-51%
Recurring	 720,763	71%	 867,758	77%		(146,995)	-17%
Total	\$ 1,037,883	54%	\$ 1,510,560	61%	\$	(472,677)	-31%

Product Gross Profit

The gross profit on product revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2012 decreased by 51% compared to the prior year period. This decrease was a result of decreased product sales and installations on energy management and HSIA sales.

Recurring Gross Profit

Our gross profit associated with recurring revenue decreased by 17% for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly due to a decrease in advertising revenue which yields higher gross margins.

Operating Expenses

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2012 2011			Variance		
Total	\$ 1,734,943	\$	1,397,971	\$	336,972	24%

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, operating expenses increased by 24% when compared to the prior year period. The increase is the result of additional professional fees, sales and marketing staff and related expenses.

Research and Development

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2012	2011		Variance		
Total	\$ 230,564	\$	208,609	\$	21,955	11%

Our research and development costs related to both present and future products are expensed in the period incurred. Current research and development costs are associated with product development and integration. Research and development costs increased 11% when compared to the prior year period. The increase is due to expenditures for test equipment and consulting.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

		Three Months Ended March 31,					
		2012		2011		Varianc	e
Total	<u>\$</u>	1,431,781	\$	1,127,834	\$	303,947	27%

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, selling, general and administrative expenses increased over the comparable prior year period by approximately 27%. The increase is primarily the result of increased professional fees, sales and marketing staff and related expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed our operations since inception primarily through private and public offerings of our equity securities, the issuance of various debt instruments and asset based lending.

Working Capital

Our working capital deficit increased by \$595,366 during the three months ended March 31, 2012 from a working capital deficit (current liabilities in excess of current assets) of \$774,915 at December 31, 2011 to a working capital deficit of \$1,370,281 at March 31, 2012.

Business Loan

On September 11, 2009, the Company entered into a Loan Agreement in the aggregate principal amount of \$300,000 with the Wisconsin Department of Commerce (the "Department"). The outstanding principal balance bears interest at the annual rate of 2%. Payment of interest and principal is to be made in the following manner: (a) payment of any and all interest that accrues from the date of disbursement commenced on January 1, 2010 and continued on the first day of each consecutive month thereafter through and including December 31, 2010; (b) commencing on January 1, 2011 and continuing on the first day of each consecutive month thereafter through and including November 1, 2016, the Company shall pay equal monthly installments of \$4,426 each; followed by a final installment on December 1, 2016 which shall include all remaining principal, accrued interest and other amounts owed by the Company to the Department under the Loan Agreement. The Company may prepay amounts outstanding under the credit facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty. The Loan Agreement is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets and the proceeds from this loan were used for the working capital requirements of the Company. The Loan Agreement contains covenants which require, among other things, that the Company shall keep and maintain 75 existing full-time positions and create and fill 35 additional full-time positions in Milwaukee, Wisconsin by December 31, 2012. For each new full time position not kept, created or maintained, the Company will be required to pay a penalty consisting of an incremental increase in the interest rate not to exceed 4%. As of April 30, 2012, the Company has 77 full-time employees in Wisconsin, of which 17 are newly created full-time positions. The outstanding borrowings under the agreement as of March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$240,417 and \$252,454, respectively.

On March 4, 2011, the Company sold its Series 5 Power Line Carrier product line and related business assets to Dynamic Ratings Inc., ("Dynamic Ratings"). The sale price was \$1,000,000 in cash. In connection with the sale Dynamic Ratings lent \$700,000 in the form of a 6% promissory note (Note# 1) dated March 4, 2011. The Company used the proceeds received to retire substantially all of its obligations under its \$1.6 million senior convertible debenture due May 29, 2011 and to cancel the related warrants covering 11.7 million shares of the Company's common stock.

Promissory Note #1

On March 4, 2011, the Company sold all its Series 5 PLC product line assets to Wisconsin-based Dynamic Ratings, Inc. ("Purchaser") under an Asset Purchase Agreement ("APA"). Per the APA, the Company signed an unsecured Promissory Note ("Note #1") due to Purchaser in the aggregate principal amount of \$700,000. The outstanding principal balance bears interest at the annual rate of 6% and is due on March 31, 2014. Note #1 may be prepaid in whole or in part, without penalty at any time, however scheduled payments are due on June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013. Payments shall be applied first to accrued but unpaid interest and then to principal. Note #1 contains certain earn-out provisions that encompass both the Company's and Purchaser's revenue volumes. Provided these provisions are met, the Company could potentially retire Note #1 prior to its expiration date. Payments not made when due, by maturity acceleration or otherwise, shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum from the date due until fully paid. The outstanding borrowings under this agreement was \$700,000 as of March 31, 2012.

Promissory Note #2

From the sale of its Series 5 PLC product line assets, the Company used the proceeds received to retire substantially all of its obligations under its \$1.6 million senior convertible debenture due May 29, 2011 and to cancel the related warrants covering 11.7 million shares of the Company's common stock. In exchange for the early retirement of debt and cancellation of warrants, the Company provided the third party with an unsecured one-year promissory note ("Note #2") for \$50,000. The outstanding principal balance bore interest at the annual rate of 5.25% and was due on March 4, 2012. This note was paid in full prior to March 31, 2012.

Cash flow analysis

Cash used in continuing operations was \$394,575 and cash provided from continuing operations was \$3,312 during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of March 31, 2012, our primary capital needs included business strategy execution, inventory procurement and managing current liabilities.

Cash used in investing activities from continuing operations was \$3,995 during the current period, and cash provided by investing activities was \$1,006,645 during the period ended March 31, 2011. During the period ended March 31, 2011, the Company sold its Series 5 Power Line Carrier product line and related business assets for \$1,000,000 in cash.

Cash used in financing activities was \$24,783 and \$942,935 during the periods ending March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the period ended March 31, 2011, the Company sold its Series 5 Power Line Carrier product line and related business assets for \$1,000,000 in cash. In connection with the sale, the purchaser lent the Company \$700,000 in the form of a 6% promissory note dated March 4, 2011. The Company used the proceeds received to retire substantially all of its obligations under its \$1.6 million senior convertible debenture due May 29, 2011.

Our independent registered public accountants report on our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 includes an explanatory paragraph relating to our ability to continue as a going concern. We have incurred operating losses in the past years and we are dependent upon our ability to develop profitable operations and/or obtain necessary funding from outside sources, including by the sale of our securities, or obtaining loans from financial institutions, where possible. These factors, among others, raise doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern and may also affect our ability to obtain financing in the future.

Management expects that global economic conditions will continue to present a challenging operating environment through 2012; therefore working capital management will continue to be a high priority for the remainder of 2012.

The Company intends to manage the approximate \$1,127,000 sales tax liability by (1) confirming if customers self-assessed and remitted tax to the applicable state(s) absent from our transactions (2) confirming if customers were subjected to a state audit and if so did it result in the customer paying tax absent from our transaction (3) invoicing customers for the back taxes and (4) establishing voluntary disclosure agreements with the applicable states, which establishes a maximum look-back period and payment arrangements. However, if the aforementioned methods prove unsuccessful and the Company is examined or challenged by taxing authorities, there exists possible exposure of an additional \$620,000, not including any applicable interest and penalties.

Additional financing may be required in order to meet our current and projected cash flow requirements from operations. We cannot predict whether this new financing, if it is required, will be in the form of equity or debt. We may not be able to obtain the necessary additional capital on a timely basis, on acceptable terms, or at all. Additional investments are being sought, but we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain such investments. Financing transactions may include the issuance of equity or debt securities, obtaining credit facilities, or other financing mechanisms. However, the trading price of our common stock and the downturn in the U.S. stock and debt markets could make it more difficult to obtain financing through the issuance of equity or debt securities. Even if we are able to raise the funds required, it is possible that we could incur unexpected costs and expenses, fail to collect significant amounts owed to us, or experience unexpected cash requirements that would force us to seek alternative financing. Further, if we issue additional equity or debt securities, stockholders may experience additional dilution or the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our common stock. If additional financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms, we will have to curtail our operations.

Off-Balance sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements other than its facility leases.

Acquisition or Disposition of Property and Equipment

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company had \$3,995 of expenditures on fixed assets and costs of equipment. The Company does not anticipate any significant purchases of property, plant or equipment during the next twelve months, other than computer equipment and peripherals to be used in the Company's day-to-day operations.

We presently lease two commercial office spaces in Germantown, Maryland totaling, in the aggregate, 16,400 square feet. Both leases expire in December 2015. On July 15, 2011, Telkonet executed a sublease agreement for 11,626 square feet of its space located in Germantown, Maryland. The sublease term will expire on January 31, 2013. The subtenant received a one month rent abatement and has the option to extend the sublease from January 31, 2013 to December 31, 2015.

Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

On March 4, 2011, the Company sold its Series 5 Power Line Carrier product line and related business assets to Dynamic Ratings ("Dynamic Ratings"). The purchase price was \$1,000,000 in cash. In connection with the sale Dynamic Ratings lent \$700,000 in the form of a 6% promissory note (Promissory Note #1) dated March 4, 2011. The Company used the proceeds received to retire substantially all of its obligations under its \$1.6 million senior convertible debenture due May 29, 2011 and to cancel the related warrants covering 11.7 million shares of the Company's common stock. In exchange for the early retirement of debt and cancellation of warrants, the Company provided the lender with an unsecured one-year promissory note for \$50,000 (Promissory Note #2), which was subsequently paid off prior to March 31, 2012.

Promissory Note #1

On March 4, 2011, the Company sold all its Series 5 PLC product line assets to Wisconsin-based Dynamic Ratings, Inc. ("Purchaser") under an Asset Purchase Agreement ("APA"). Per the APA, the Company signed an unsecured Promissory Note ("Note #1") due to Purchaser in the aggregate principal amount of \$700,000. The outstanding principal balance bears interest at the annual rate of 6% and is due on March 31, 2014. Note #1 may be prepaid in whole or in part, without penalty at any time, however scheduled payments are due on June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013. Payments shall be applied first to accrued but unpaid interest and then to principal. Note #1 contains certain earn-out provisions that encompass both the Company's and Purchaser's revenue volumes. Provided these provisions are met, the Company could potentially retire Note #1 prior to its expiration date. Payments not made when due, by maturity acceleration or otherwise, shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum from the date due until fully paid.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of March 31, 2012, the Company performed an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures. Due to the lack of a segregation of duties and failure to implement accounting controls, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, there have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Litigation

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of its business. Although occasional adverse decisions or settlements may occur, the Company believes that the final disposition of such matters should not have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Linksmart Wireless Technology, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.

On July 1, 2008, Linksmart Wireless Technology, LLC, or Linksmart, filed a civil lawsuit in the Eastern District of Texas against EthoStream, LLC, our wholly-owned subsidiary and 22 other defendants (*Linksmart Wireless Technology, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., et al*, U.S. District Court, for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division, No.2:08-cv-00264-TJW-CE). This lawsuit alleges that the defendants' services infringe a wireless network security patent held by Linksmart. Linksmart seeks a permanent injunction enjoining the defendants from infringing, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the infringement of its patent, an award of damages and attorney's fees.

On August 1, 2008, we timely filed an answer to the complaint denying the allegations. On February 27, 2009, the USPTO granted a reexamination request with respect to the patent in issue in this lawsuit. Based upon four highly relevant and material prior art references that had not been considered by the USPTO in its initial examination, it found a "substantial new question of patentability" affecting all claims of the patent in suit. On August 2, 2010, the USPTO issued a Final Office Action rejecting every claim of the patent in suit. If this action is upheld on appeal it will result in the elimination of all of the issues in the pending litigation. There is a possibility that the claims of the patent will be reinstated on appeal either in their original form or as amended.

Defendant Ramada Worldwide, Inc. provided us with notice of the suit and demanded that we defend and indemnify it pursuant to a vendor direct supplier agreement between EthoStream and WWC Supplier Services, Inc., a Ramada affiliate (wherein we agreed to indemnify, defend and hold Ramada harmless from and against claims of infringement). After a review of that agreement, it was determined that EthoStream owes the duty to defend and indemnify with respect to services provided by Telkonet to Ramada and it has assumed Ramada's defense. An answer on Ramada's behalf was filed in U.S. District Court, for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division on September 19, 2008.

The parties agreed to and the Court ordered a stay of the litigation pending the conclusion of the reexamination proceeding. The case was reopened in early 2012 based on the expectation that the USPTO will issue a reexamination certificate and as of March 16, 2012, a new judge was assigned to the case in view of the impending retirement of the originally assigned judge. A new schedule for the case is expected to be determined by the new judge.

Robert P. Crabb v. Telkonet Inc.

On November 9, 2010, a former executive, Robert P. Crabb, served Telkonet, Inc. and Telkonet Communications, Inc. ("Telkonet") with a Complaint in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, MD alleging (1) violation of Maryland's Wage Payment and Collection Act (2) Breach of Contract and (3) Promissory Estoppel/Detrimental Reliance. The claims in his Complaint arose out of his retirement in September 2007. In terms of relief, Mr. Crabb sought "severance compensation" in the amount of \$156,000, treble damages, interest, and attorneys' fees. This lawsuit was resolved as part of a voluntary settlement prior to the scheduled four day jury trial beginning on December 12, 2011. The parties executed a settlement agreement and general release on January 20, 2012 for \$127,000. On January 25, 2012, the Court entered the parties' joint Stipulation of Dismissal.

Stephen L. Sadle v. Telkonet, Inc.

On April 15, 2011, a former executive, Stephen L. Sadle, served Telkonet, Inc. and Telkonet Communications, Inc. ("Telkonet") with a Complaint in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, MD alleging (1) Breach of Contract, (2) Promissory Estoppel/Detrimental Reliance and (3) violation of Maryland's Wage Payment and Collection Act. The three claims in his Complaint each arise out of his retirement in 2007. On May 27, 2011, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss Mr. Sadle's claims. On August 10, 2011, the court granted in full the Defendants' motion to dismiss.

Specifically, the Court dismissed, with prejudice, Plaintiff's claim under the Maryland Wage Payment and Collection Act. However, as part of its Order, the Court permitted Plaintiff to amend his Complaint as to his Breach of Contract (Count II) and Promissory Estoppel/Detrimental Reliance (Count III) claims only within 30 days. On September 14, 2011, Mr. Sadle filed his First Amended Complaint. On September 30, 2011, Telkonet filed its Answer and Counterclaims for Negligence (based on a fiduciary duty) and Recoupment. Mr. Sadle has not yet filed an Answer to Telkonet's counterclaims. The parties have exchanged written discovery and scheduled preliminary depositions. A hearing on the pending cross-motions for summary judgment was held on March 21, 2012.

In terms of relief, Mr. Sadle is seeking "severance compensation" in the amount of \$195,000, treble damages, interest, and attorneys' fees. Treble damages and attorneys' fees are only available under the Maryland Wage Payment and Collection Act, however, and therefore should no longer be available to Mr. Sadle in light of the dismissal of that particular claim. Mr. Sadle's Complaint provides no specific accounting for the relief sought. The trial in this case is set for May 14, 2012.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes to risk factors previously disclosed in our 2011 Annual Report in response to Item 1A of Form 10-K.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Description Of Document
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Jason L. Tienor
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 of Richard E. Mushrush
32.1	Certification of Jason L. Tienor pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Richard E. Mushrush pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Telkonet, Inc. Registrant

Date: May 15, 2012 By: /s/ Jason L. Tienor

Jason L. Tienor Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)

Date: May 15, 2012 By: /s/ Richard E. Mushrush

Richard E. Mushrush

Controller and Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial officer)

EXHIBIT 31.1 CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Jason L. Tienor, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Telkonet, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2012

By: /s/ Jason L. Tienor

Jason L. Tienor Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31.2 CERTIFICATIONS

- I, Richard E. Mushrush certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Telkonet, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
- (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
- (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2012

By: /s/ Richard E. Mushrush

Richard E. Mushrush Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of **Telkonet Inc.** (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending **March 31, 2012** as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, **Jason L. Tienor,** Chief Executive Officer of Telkonet, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

This certification is being provided pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 and is not to be deemed a part of the Report, nor is it to be deemed to be "filed" for any purpose whatsoever.

/s/ Jason L. Tienor

Jason L. Tienor Chief Executive Officer May 15, 2012

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of **Telkonet Inc.** (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ending **March 31, 2012** as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, **Richard E. Mushrush,** Acting Chief Financial Officer of Telkonet, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

This certification is being provided pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350 and is not to be deemed a part of the Report, nor is it to be deemed to be "filed" for any purpose whatsoever.

/s/ Richard E. Mushrush

Richard E. Mushrush Chief Financial Officer May 15, 2012